

# 1 Chapter 3: Prompt Response Template

*Critical Thinking in the Age of Artificial Intelligence* Copyright © 2025 by Germán Gutiérrez-Sanin is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International License](#), except where otherwise noted.

## The Prompt Response Template

### Paragraph 1: Introduction

In the editorial/article titled “\_\_\_\_\_” that appears in \_\_\_\_\_ (publication/source) on \_\_\_\_\_ (day/month/year), the author \_\_\_\_\_ argues that \_\_\_\_\_ (summarize author’s central thesis). To support this thesis, the author presents the following key points: The author is  right  partially right  wrong, because \_\_\_\_\_ (state your overall judgment or thesis about the argument).

### Paragraph 2: First Supporting Argument and Analysis

The author’s first main argument is that \_\_\_\_\_ (summarize argument). This argument is weakened by the fallacy of  hasty generalization  appeal to emotion  anecdotal evidence  other: \_\_\_\_\_, because \_\_\_\_\_ (explain why the argument lacks fairness, accuracy, clarity, etc.). Additionally, the author fails to consider \_\_\_\_\_ (mention a missing perspective or critical point).

### Paragraph 3: Second Supporting Argument and Analysis

The second argument the author presents is that \_\_\_\_\_. This reasoning reflects the fallacy of  false cause  slippery slope  stereotyping  other: \_\_\_\_\_, which undermines its logical strength. The argument lacks  relevance  depth  breadth, because \_\_\_\_\_ (critique the evidence, assumptions, or logic).

### Paragraph 4: Third Supporting Argument and Analysis

Finally, the author claims that \_\_\_\_\_. This argument contains the fallacy of  ad hominem  false dilemma  red herring  other: \_\_\_\_\_. Rather than focusing on \_\_\_\_\_, the author distracts with \_\_\_\_\_. This undermines the  fairness  logic  objectivity of the position and ignores the fact that \_\_\_\_\_ (provide a counterpoint or overlooked dimension).

### Paragraph 5: Conclusion

To conclude, the author’s arguments suffer from several critical thinking fallacies, including \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_, and \_\_\_\_\_ (list fallacies discussed). These weaken the overall effectiveness of the text. Although the topic deserves thoughtful discussion, the author’s position should be  rejected  accepted  revised, because \_\_\_\_\_ (summarize your final academic reason).